

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

DEDRICK BUNTON	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:06cv304
TINA DOUGLAS, ET AL.	§	

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Dedrick Bunton, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

On July 14, 2006, Bunton was ordered to pay the filing fee of \$350.00 or to submit an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* which was accompanied by a certified trust account data sheet, as required by 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(2). Bunton received a copy of this order on July 18, 2006, but to date has not complied, nor has he responded in any way.

On August 28, 2006, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. Bunton received a copy of this Report on or before September 11, 2006, but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).


The Court has carefully reviewed the pleadings and documents in this case, as well as the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has concluded that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is hereby ADOPTED as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled civil action be and hereby is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute. It is further

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby DENIED.

SIGNED this 4th day of October, 2006.


MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE